

CS1. Organizational Policy for Wadi Howar International Relief and Development (WHIRD):

A Critical Framework for Ethical Governance in Humanitarian Action

This policy establishes WHIRD's foundational governance structure, critically interrogating how organizational practices can either perpetuate or dismantle inequities in global humanitarian systems. Drawing on recent critiques of aid paradigms, it challenges traditional top-down models by embedding inclusivity and equity as core mechanisms for transformative impact, while addressing gaps in ethical accountability amid rising geopolitical tensions and funding shortfalls (The New Humanitarian, 2025). By integrating data responsibility and human rights frameworks, WHIRD positions itself to counter the inefficiencies of bureaucratic aid systems, where local actors often deliver 32% more cost-effective responses yet face systemic underfunding (The New Humanitarian, 2025). This approach probes broader implications, such as how fractured multilateralism erodes trust in humanitarian efforts, demanding innovative governance to navigate donor volatility and climate-conflict intersections.

Policy Statement: Redefining Accountability in a Fragmented Global Landscape. WHIRD commits to an organizational ethos that prioritizes ethical integrity, adaptive governance, and stakeholder empowerment, directly confronting the risks of aid politicization highlighted in 2025 reports. Rather than adopting static compliance, this policy innovates by integrating data responsibility frameworks to mitigate biases in decision-making, ensuring operations in conflict zones like Sudan challenge neocolonial dynamics in aid delivery (Centre for Humanitarian Data, 2025). It applies universally to board members, staff, partners, and beneficiaries, fostering a culture where ethical lapses are not merely corrected but analyzed for systemic roots, aligning with humanitarian ethics such as humanity, impartiality, neutrality, and independence. This statement critiques the "chilling effects" of unilateral coercive measures (UCMs), which create ethical dilemmas by prioritizing political objectives over human dignity, potentially leading to overcompliance that disrupts life-saving aid (OHCHR, 2025). To counter this, WHIRD mandates human rights impact assessments for all initiatives, ensuring non-discrimination and holistic needs-based assistance, while advocating for broad humanitarian carve-outs in sanctions regimes to prevent collective punishment and maintain operational independence.

Objectives: Advancing Theoretical Innovation and Global Equity: The policy aims to cultivate resilient governance that reinforces human rights paradigms while critiquing their limitations in practice. It promotes theoretical advancements by linking organizational ethics to broader social justice discourses, such as addressing AI-driven exclusions in humanitarian data (UNEG, 2025). Through this, WHIRD seeks to reduce recidivism in ethical violations by 20% annually, offering a model that fills knowledge gaps in NGO adaptability amid funding cuts that reached only 35% of the \$47 billion global appeal by late 2024 (OCHA, 2025a). Yet, this ambition invites critique: without robust external audits, such objectives risk reinforcing internal power imbalances, particularly in polarized contexts where sociopolitical divisions threaten staff from marginalized groups (Council for Effective Philanthropy, 2025). Additional objectives include promoting localization to empower frontline communities, integrating climate resilience to bridge humanitarian-development nexus gaps, and fostering mutual aid models like Sudan's Emergency Response Rooms for community-led

accountability, thereby challenging traditional inefficiencies and enhancing equity in underserved regions (The New Humanitarian, 2025).

Scope: Navigating Inclusivity Amid Operational Constraints: Encompassing all WHIRD activities—from program design to resource allocation—this policy excludes routine administrative tasks, deferring them to CS2 (Organizational Management). It critically evaluates how scope definitions can inadvertently marginalize vulnerable groups, drawing on 2025 analyses of DEI in nonprofits, to mandate intersectional assessments in high-risk environments (Council for Effective Philanthropy, 2025). This approach probes global implications, questioning whether expansive scopes truly advance equity or dilute focus in resource-scarce settings, especially where UCMs impose access restrictions and donor conditionalities narrow humanitarian efforts (OHCHR, 2025). To deepen inclusivity, the scope incorporates data subject rights, such as access, rectification, and deletion of personal data, while safeguarding demographically identifiable information (DII) for groups at risk of discrimination, requiring systematic monitoring of data flows to prevent biases in aggregation and analysis (Centre for Humanitarian Data, 2025). It also extends to inter-agency collaborations, ensuring that partnerships avoid vetting processes that undermine privacy or independence, and address the ethical challenges of emerging technologies like AI in crisis responses.

Key Principles: A World-Critical Lens on Ethics and Power Dynamics

1. **Ethical Rigor and Transparency:** Actions must be evidence-based, challenging opaque aid structures by requiring public disclosure of decision rationales. This principle critiques how unexamined ethics perpetuate inequalities, advocating for whistleblower protections that empower marginalized voices, while mandating data impact assessments to evaluate risks and align with standards like the Core Humanitarian Standard (Centre for Humanitarian Data, 2025).
2. **Inclusivity and Equity:** Prioritizing diverse representation, it interrogates tokenism in governance, integrating frameworks that address gender and cultural biases. Nuance here reveals tensions: while inclusivity fosters innovation, it demands ongoing critique to avoid performative measures, such as superficial funding changes that exacerbate staff burnout in organizations serving marginalized communities (Council for Effective Philanthropy, 2025). This includes promoting Gender in Humanitarian Action (GiHA) working groups for inclusive data practices.
3. **Sustainability and Adaptability:** Linking to global climate discourses, this mandates adaptive strategies that reinforce UN Sustainable Development Goals, yet critically reflects on their Western-centric assumptions. It incorporates hazard mapping in fragile states like Yemen to protect livelihoods and facilitate dialogue, addressing the climate-humanitarian nexus amid escalating crises (OCHA, 2025a; The New Humanitarian, 2025).
4. **Collaboration and Non-Retaliation:** Fostering inter-agency partnerships, it probes power asymmetries in collaborations, ensuring non-retaliation aligns with humanitarian principles. This involves collective information networks to counter UCM impacts and protect local

actors through risk management, emphasizing no reprisals for humanitarian delivery (OHCHR, 2025).

5. **Innovation in Accountability:** By embedding AI ethics, it offers groundbreaking perspectives on data-driven governance, filling gaps in NGO policies amid technological shifts. This includes secure data sharing protocols and periodic deletion of unnecessary data to minimize harm, critiquing inconsistent implementations across responses (Centre for Humanitarian Data, 2025).

These principles, while assertive, incorporate personal reflection: as an organization in the Global South, WHIRD must continually critique its own complicity in Northern-dominated aid narratives, leveraging localization to transform power dynamics.

Implementation Mechanisms: Operationalizing Critical Reasoning: Roles are delineated with precision—board oversees strategic ethics, while field teams execute inclusivity audits—to enable empirical rigor in practice. Timelines require biannual policy alignments with global trends, but this mechanism invites nuance: rigid structures may stifle innovation in crisis responses (OCHA, 2025a). Inter-agency sharing, per IASC guidelines, addresses systemic issues, yet critiques highlight the need for equitable knowledge exchange to avoid reinforcing hierarchies. To deepen this, WHIRD will develop standard operating procedures (SOPs) for data incident management, establish data stewards, and convene Inter-Cluster Coordination Groups for prioritization, while supporting frontline workers with evacuation plans and insurance—areas where fewer than half of local NGOs currently have coverage (The New Humanitarian, 2025). Implementation also involves flexible funding advocacy to counter donor volatility, integrating mutual aid for community accountability and ensuring compliance with national laws without compromising independence.

Monitoring and Evaluation: Empirical Tools for Transformative Critique: Quarterly KPIs, such as equity compliance rates (>90%), draw on UNEG standards to evaluate impact, using mixed-methods data for depth (UNEG, 2025). This section reflects critically on objectives: while monitoring advances discourse on accountability, it risks over-quantification, overlooking qualitative inequities in humanitarian contexts. Global relevance lies in its potential to model ethical evaluations that challenge aid inefficiencies worldwide, incorporating burnout metrics (41% moderate impact on staff) and UCM monitoring mechanisms to track rights violations (Council for Effective Philanthropy, 2025; OHCHR, 2025). Enhanced depth includes data management registries for diverse actors and periodic diagnostics to address biases, ensuring alignment with the data management cycle from planning to destruction.

Appeals and Conflict Resolution: Ensuring Due Process with Critical Oversight: Stakeholders may appeal decisions within 21 days to an independent ethics panel, blending internal and external expertise. This process critiques traditional hierarchies by mandating diverse panel composition, addressing gaps in inclusivity, though it acknowledges limitations in resource-constrained appeals (Council for Effective Philanthropy, 2025). To add depth, appeals incorporate human rights-based reviews, prohibiting conditionalities tied to sanctions, and require transparent multi-stakeholder consultations to resolve conflicts, while protecting appellants from retaliation through duty-of-care measures like legal aid (OHCHR, 2025). This ensures impartiality, with panels evaluating evidence

against principles like non-discrimination, and fosters equity by prioritizing voices from affected communities in resolution processes.

Training and Capacity Building: Fostering Ethical Literacy: Linked to CS4, annual modules integrate critical theory, emphasizing ethics in AI and DEI. New hires complete within 45 days, but this invites critique: without tailored cultural adaptations, training may reinforce global North biases (Centre for Humanitarian Data, 2025). Expanded details include briefings on data responsibility, burnout mitigation strategies for marginalized staff, and simulations of UCM scenarios to build resilience, drawing on tools like the Protect Aid Workers program for grants and advocacy skills (The New Humanitarian, 2025). Capacity building extends to local partners via co-leadership and flexible funding under the Grand Bargain, ensuring inclusive training that addresses recruitment challenges and promotes well-being in high-risk environments.

Review and Update: Sustaining Relevance in Evolving Contexts: Reviewed annually or post-major events, incorporating stakeholder feedback and 2025 updates. This ensures theoretical innovation, probing how updates can transform aid paradigms amid pressing issues like climate displacement (OCHA, 2025a). Depth is added through integration of emerging trends, such as AI ethics revisions and critiques of overcompliance, with mandatory incorporation of field office feedback to address inconsistent implementations and adapt to national laws (Centre for Humanitarian Data, 2025). Reviews will evaluate impacts on vulnerable populations, leveraging joint advocacy for policy reforms and ensuring updates challenge donor unpredictability, like potential 30-40% US funding cuts (The New Humanitarian, 2025).

In reflection, this policy contributes to critical thought by reframing organizational governance as a tool for global equity, challenging paradigms while proposing evidence-based solutions. Its transformative impact lies in bridging academic critiques with practical humanitarian action, though ongoing self-critique remains essential to avoid complicity in systemic flaws.ⁱ

ⁱ Centre for Humanitarian Data. (2025). *Data responsibility guidelines 2025*. <https://data.humdata.org/dataset/2048a947-5714-4220-905b-e662cbcd14c8/resource/8bc5b848-8ece-4f1f-a78b-18dd972bb21a/download/data-responsibility-guidelines-2025.pdf>

Council for Effective Philanthropy. (2025). *State of nonprofits 2025*. [https://cep.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/05/NVP State-of-Nonprofits 2025.pdf](https://cep.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/05/NVP-State-of-Nonprofits-2025.pdf)

Global Protection Cluster. (2025). *Positioning protection in humanitarian action 2025*. <https://globalprotectioncluster.org/themes/humanitarianaction>

LRN. (2025). *2025 ethics & compliance program effectiveness report*. <https://cdn.lawreportgroup.com/acuris/files/ACR-New/LRN%25202025%2520Program%2520Effectiveness%2520Report.pdf>

Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights. (2025). *Principles on unilateral coercive measures and humanitarian action*. <https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/documents/issues/ucm/2025-principles-ucm-humanitarian-action.pdf>

Security Council Report. (2025). *Protection of humanitarian and UN personnel, April 2025 monthly forecast*. <https://www.securitycouncilreport.org/monthly-forecast/2025-04/protection-of-humanitarian-and-un-personnel-2.php>

The New Humanitarian. (2025). *What's shaping aid policy in 2025*. <https://www.thenewhumanitarian.org/analysis/2025/01/09/whats-shaping-aid-policy-2025>

United Nations Evaluation Group. (2025). *UNEG norms and standards for evaluation*. <https://www.unevaluation.org/document/detail/3060>

United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs. (2025a). *Global humanitarian overview 2025*. <https://www.unocha.org/events/global-humanitarian-overview-2025>

United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs. (2025b). *March update: Global humanitarian overview 2025 monthly updates*. <https://humanitarianaction.info/document/global-humanitarian-overview-2025-monthly-updates/article/march-update-0>

Whole Whale. (2025). *Top nonprofit AI policies 2025: Analysis and trends*. <https://wholewhale.com/tips/top-nonprofit-ai-policies-2025-analysis-and-trends/>

CS2.Organizational Management Policy for Wadi Howar International Relief and Development (WHIRD)

Policy Statement: Wadi Howar International Relief and Development (WHIRD), established as a nonprofit corporation under Indiana law on September 23, 2017, commits to robust organizational management to sustain its humanitarian mission in sectors such as WASH, food security, livelihoods, education, and healthcare, primarily in Sudanese and Chadian contexts with aspirations for global expansion. This policy

establishes a framework for governance, leadership, financial oversight, human resources, risk management, and partnerships, addressing power imbalances in aid delivery while promoting efficiency and ethical practices. By integrating adaptive strategies, WHIRD challenges traditional hierarchical models that often fail in volatile environments, fostering resilience amid funding uncertainties and rising demands—a critical shift evidenced by sector-wide concerns over financial instability and workforce burnout. Furthermore, the policy emphasizes proactive measures like stakeholder-inclusive planning and technology adoption, which empirical data from 2025 surveys indicate can enhance operational efficiency by up to 25% in resource-constrained NGOs, countering the 84% of organizations reporting funding shortfalls for full costs. This comprehensive approach not only safeguards WHIRD's charitable integrity but critiques broader systemic inequities in humanitarian funding, where donor restrictions often prioritize compliance over impact, urging a paradigm toward unrestricted grants for sustainable growth.

Key Principles

Transparent Governance and Accountability: WHIRD's governance emphasizes a single-track structure with the Board of Directors overseeing strategic decisions, ensuring alignment with bylaws that prohibit political activities to maintain charitable status. This approach counters deficiencies in NGO governance, such as inadequate training and reporting, which empirical studies link to increased financial misconduct and eroded stakeholder trust. In high-risk humanitarian settings, transparent mechanisms like regular audits and stakeholder engagement not only mitigate risks but innovate by incorporating local voices, challenging paternalistic paradigms and advancing equity in decision-making processes. Expanding on this, WHIRD mandates annual governance training for board members, drawing from best practices that include constituent listening sessions and inclusive planning, which 2025 analyses show reduce misalignment between executives and boards by fostering shared perceptions of engagement amid political turmoil. Critically, while this builds trust—evidenced by 57% of Americans viewing nonprofits favorably—the policy must address emerging scrutiny from congressional oversight, where policy shifts could amplify vulnerabilities in accountability frameworks. In my assessment, such transparency evolves governance from compliance-driven to transformative, filling gaps in how NGOs navigate economic uncertainty and community needs.

Ethical Leadership and Workforce Sustainability: Leadership at WHIRD prioritizes adaptive models that respond to community needs, countering burnout rates where nearly 90% of nonprofit leaders report concerns, often exacerbated by staffing shortages and political climates. By mandating training in financial literacy and ethics, the policy addresses cultural resistances in post-conflict areas, promoting psychological safety and distributed decision-making. This nuanced strategy critiques rigid hierarchies, offering a theoretical advancement toward collaborative leadership that builds resilience, though it must navigate resource constraints that limit mentorship programs in underfunded NGOs. To deepen this, WHIRD incorporates wellness initiatives like flexible work policies and employee assistance programs, aligned with 2025 trends where over 90% of nonprofits maintain remote options to combat workforce crises, yet highlight disparities in talent retention for marginalized groups. Empirical evidence from talent reports underscores that prioritizing staff well-being can boost retention by 20-30%, but systemic issues like underfunded roles perpetuate inequities, demanding ethical investments in diversity and inclusion to challenge exclusionary paradigms in humanitarian leadership. Personally, I see this as an opportunity for

WHIRD to pioneer hybrid models that integrate local leadership, addressing global workforce vulnerabilities in an era of economic constraints.

Financial Integrity and Resource Management: Financial oversight includes segregated duties, independent audits, and digital tools for real-time monitoring, aligning with strategies that reduce fraud in NGOs through clear policies and whistleblower protections. Amid sector trends of declining revenues and rising costs, WHIRD's emphasis on diversified funding—beyond government sources—reinforces sustainability, yet highlights global inequities where only 50% of organizations express financial concerns, underscoring the need for unrestricted grants to support core operations. Critically, this principle probes broader implications, proposing pooled funds as a transformative tool to decentralize humanitarian governance and empower local actors, filling gaps in traditional funding models. Expanding further, the policy requires quarterly financial reviews and scenario planning to anticipate shifts, such as those from US foreign aid reductions impacting humanitarian commitments by hundreds of millions in 2025. This approach innovates by integrating localization strategies, where empowering local NGOs with flexible funding models reduces reliance on restrictive donors, though critiques reveal persistent challenges in currency management and financial gains disclosure that heighten risks of misconduct. Nuance here lies in balancing immediate oversight with long-term resilience, as 83% of nonprofits report difficulties in achieving financial sustainability amid rising demands.

Risk Management and Adaptive Planning: WHIRD integrates regular risk assessments into operations, covering financial, operational, and reputational threats, informed by strategic planning that emphasizes leadership's role in capacity growth. This framework challenges outdated legal structures in NGOs, advocating for agile responses to policy shifts and disasters. In my view, such adaptations are essential in developing contexts, where chronic resource deficiencies perpetuate inefficiencies, yet offer opportunities for innovation through community-led coalitions. To add depth, the policy includes SWOT analyses updates and stakeholder work groups, as recommended in 2025 strategic tactics, which enhance adaptability by addressing economic uncertainties and policy volatility. Empirical insights from nonprofit outlooks reveal that proactive risk strategies, like leveraging technology for monitoring, can mitigate up to 40% of operational disruptions, but must confront sector-wide issues such as shifting funding patterns that exacerbate vulnerabilities. Critically, this principle reinforces paradigms of resilience while proposing solutions like pooled funds to transform governance, though implementation in conflict zones demands cultural sensitivity to avoid ethnocentric pitfalls.

Inclusive Partnerships and Collaboration: The policy mandates ethical collaborations with governments and donors, navigating challenges like mutual distrust and policy instability to enhance healthcare and aid delivery. By prioritizing NGO-government partnerships, WHIRD reinforces resilience, as seen in pandemic responses, while critiquing conflicting priorities that undermine independence. This principle advances discourse on equity, proposing frameworks for consistent policies and communication to bridge divides in low-income settings. Delving deeper, WHIRD requires partnership agreements with clear equity clauses and joint monitoring, inspired by 2025 findings on healthcare collaborations in developing countries, where challenges like inconsistent policies hinder progress but opportunities for shared governance emerge. Evidence from aid policy analyses indicates that such inclusive models can improve outcomes by 15-20% through localized decision-making, yet highlight ethical tensions in power shifts, where donor-

driven agendas often overshadow community needs. In a world-critical lens, this fosters inclusivity but urges WHIRD to advocate for diversified funding to reduce dependencies, addressing global issues like aid seismic shifts.

Implementation Mechanisms.

Governance Structure and Oversight: The Board, per Internal Administrative Regulations, delegates daily management to the Executive Director, with annual reviews adapting to field realities per the 2018-2023 Strategic Plan. This integrates management into contracts and the Code of Conduct, ensuring compliance amid trust levels where 57% of Americans hold high regard for nonprofits, yet concerns over donor influence persist. Oversight includes cross-functional committees for EDI, addressing legal risks in polarized environments. To expand, implementation involves role-playing exercises and technology workshops for board training, as per 2025 best practices, enhancing governance engagement and countering perceptions gaps between executives and boards. This mechanism critiques static models by incorporating constituent feedback, though resource limitations in humanitarian contexts demand innovative, low-cost tools like digital platforms for oversight.

Human Resources and Capacity Building: HR policies mandate flexible work, burnout mitigation, and succession planning, countering shortages where 66% of organizations report impacts on services. Training programs foster ethical literacy, though nuance reveals higher burnout among marginalized leaders, demanding inclusive investments. Building on this, WHIRD implements volunteer management strategies from recruitment to retention, including personalized onboarding and recognition programs, which 2025 guides link to improved sustainability amid workforce crises. Empirical data from sector reports show that such capacity building reduces turnover by fostering resilience, but global challenges like economic constraints necessitate targeted solutions for equitable access.

Financial and Operational Integration: Mandates for transparent reporting and risk committees permeate operations, publicly available on wadihowar.org since 2018. Inspired by sector tools, this ensures donor fund accountability, yet critiques highlight needs for legislative amendments to streamline bodies in resource-scarce NGOs. In depth, integration includes urgent action steps like financial scenario planning and diversified revenue streams, as outlined in 2025 checklists, addressing seismic shifts in aid and promoting localization to enhance operational agility. This not only mitigates fraud but advances ethical frameworks, though ongoing financial uncertainty requires adaptive budgeting to sustain missions.

Monitoring and Evaluation: Field evaluations enable evolution, tackling underreporting through feedback loops. Challenges include resource deficits and inconsistent data, mirroring UN-wide issues, yet position WHIRD to innovate via hybrid models. Expanding critically, monitoring incorporates metrics on program impact and employee engagement, per 2025 strategic goals, revealing that 85% of nonprofits face donor-related challenges but can leverage data for growth. This adaptive process critiques fragmented systems, proposing community-engaged evaluations to fill equity gaps in humanitarian oversight.

Critical Reflections and Global Implications: WHIRD's organizational management policy reinforces accountability while exposing gaps like funding vulnerabilities and cultural barriers, urging hybrid governance to advance equity in aid. In my assessment, it contributes to critical thought by proposing solutions to burnout and trust erosion, addressing global issues like policy volatility amid rising needs. This

reflective framework probes implications for ethical humanitarianism, filling discourse gaps in an interconnected world, particularly through localization and resilient workforce strategies that challenge donor-centric paradigms.ⁱ

ⁱ California Nonprofits Insurance Services. (2024). *Strategic goals for nonprofits in 2025: Setting your organization up for success*. <https://www.calnonprofitsinsurance.org/post/strategic-goals-for-nonprofits-in-2025-setting-your-organization-up-for-success>

Center for Effective Philanthropy. (2025). *State of nonprofits 2025*. https://cep.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/05/NVP_State-of-Nonprofits_2025.pdf

CHS Alliance. (2025). *Empowering change in 2025: From compliance to power shift in accountability and safeguarding*. <https://www.chsalliance.org/get-support/article/empowering-change-in-2025-from-compliance-to-power-shift-in-accountability-and-safeguarding/>

CSR Communications. (2025). *4 change practices of organizations that will survive 2025 and beyond*. <https://csrcommunications.com/2025/07/4-change-practices-of-organizations-that-will-survive-2025-and-beyond/>

Daxko. (2025). *25 nonprofit trends you should know in 2025*. <https://www.daxko.com/insights/25-nonprofit-trends-you-should-know-in-2025>

DonorPerfect. (2025). *10 nonprofit leadership tips for 2025*. <https://www.donorperfect.com/nonprofit-technology-blog/fundraising-software/10-nonprofit-leadership-tips/>

Fallon, K., Martin, H., Koch, G., Tomasko, L., Boris, E. T., Lecy, J., Kim, M., & Faulk, L. (2025). *Nonprofit leaders' top concerns entering 2025*. Urban Institute. <https://www.urban.org/research/publication/nonprofit-leaders-top-concerns-entering-2025>

Funraise. (2025). *Nonprofit volunteer management guide 2025: Best practices and strategies*. <https://www.funraise.org/blog/getting-the-most-out-of-your-nonprofits-volunteers>

Funds for NGOs. (2025). *Top 5 organizational takeaways for nonprofits from 2025*. <https://news.fundsforngos.org/2025/12/18/top-5-organizational-takeaways-for-nonprofits-from-2025/>

Grassi Advisors & Accountants. (2025). *5 key findings from the 2025 nonprofit leadership report*. <https://www.grassiadvisors.com/news/key-findings-2025-nonprofit-leadership-report-reveals-innovation-strategies/>

HUB International. (2025). *2025 nonprofit outlook: Key risk management strategies*. <https://www.hubinternational.com/insights/outlook/2025/nonprofit/>

Independent Sector. (2025). *Preparing the nonprofit sector to meet the challenges of 2025*. <https://independentsector.org/blog/preparing-the-nonprofit-sector-to-meet-the-challenges-of-2025/>

International Council of Voluntary Agencies. (2025). *Lives on the line: The human impact of US foreign aid shifts*. <https://www.icvanetwork.org/uploads/2025/03/Lives-on-the-Line-Final-Report.pdf>

Johnson Center for Philanthropy. (2025). *The nonprofit workforce is in crisis*.
<https://johnsoncenter.org/blog/the-nonprofit-workforce-is-in-crisis/>

LinkedIn. (2025). *Nonprofit talent report 2025*.
https://nonprofit.linkedin.com/content/dam/me/nonprofit/talent-report-2025/Nonprofit-Talent-Report_2025.pdf

Next Stage Consulting. (2025). *4 essential best practices for strategic planning in 2025*.
<https://nextstage-consulting.com/2025/01/13/4-essential-best-practices-for-strategic-planning-in-2025/>

Next Stage Consulting. (2025). *Bridging the gap: Nonprofit governance engagement in 2025*.
<https://nextstage-consulting.com/2025/06/26/bridging-the-gap-how-nonprofit-executives-and-boards-perceive-governance-engagement-in-2025/>

Nonprofit Financials. (2025). *12 urgent financial action steps for nonprofits: A 2025 checklist*.
<https://nonprofitfinancials.org/resources/12-urgent-action-steps-nonprofits-2025/>

Nonprofit Pro. (2025). *Tactics for your nonprofit's 2025 strategic plan*.
<https://www.nonprofitpro.com/post/review-these-tactics-before-finalizing-your-nonprofits-2025-strategic-plan/>

Nonprofit Pro. (2025). *12 revealing nonprofit stats from 2025*.
<https://www.nonprofitpro.com/article/12-revealing-nonprofit-stats-from-2025/>

Nonprofit Fundraising. (2024). *Top 9 nonprofit management tips for 2025*.
<https://nonprofitfundraising.com/top-9-nonprofit-management-tips-for-2025/>

Nonprofit Finance Fund. (2025). *2025 national state of the nonprofit sector survey findings*.
<https://nff.org/wp-content/uploads/NFF-2025-Survey-Report.pdf>

Nonprofit Finance Fund. (2025). *The Bay Area nonprofit sector in 2025*. <https://nff.org/wp-content/uploads/NFF-2025-Survey-Report-Bay-Area.pdf>

Sahalam, M., Parveen, R., Samiullah, M., & Ferdous, Z. (2025). *Governance in non-profits: Key financial oversight strategies for NGOs*.
<https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm/5245596.pdf?abstractid=5245596&mirid=1>

Social Current. (2025). *Navigating workforce challenges: 2025 trends and solutions for the social sector*.
<https://www.social-current.org/2025/02/navigating-workforce-challenges-2025-trends-and-solutions-for-the-social-sector/>

The New Humanitarian. (2025). *What's shaping aid policy in 2025*.
<https://www.thenewhumanitarian.org/analysis/2025/01/09/whats-shaping-aid-policy-2025>

Training Magazine. (2025). *Top 5 tips for better nonprofit team training in 2025*.

<https://trainingmag.com/top-5-tips-for-better-nonprofit-team-training-in-2025/>

U.S. Agency for International Development Office of Inspector General. (2024). *Top management challenges facing USAID in fiscal year 2025*. https://oig.usaid.gov/sites/default/files/2024-12/TMC_FY_2025-FINAL.pdf

Zakeri, A., Afrough, A., & Mirzaei, M. (2025). *Challenges and opportunities for NGO-government collaboration in healthcare systems of developing countries*. *Public Health in Practice*, 8, 100586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhip.2025.100586>

Zoccatelli, G. (2025). *Can pooled funds transform humanitarian governance? Alternatives Humanitaires*. <https://www.alternatives-humanitaires.org/en/2025/11/27/pooled-funds-humanitarian-governance/>

CS3. Human Resources System Policy for Wadi Howar International Relief and Development (WHIRD)

Policy Statement: Wadi Howar International Relief and Development (WHIRD), founded as a nonprofit under Indiana law on September 23, 2017, prioritizes a comprehensive human resources (HR) system to support its humanitarian and development work in areas like WASH, food security, education, and healthcare, starting in Sudanese and Chadian regions with global expansion goals. This policy outlines strategies for recruitment, development, diversity, performance, compensation, and well-being, recognizing HR's role in mitigating power imbalances and operational risks in volatile settings. By embedding adaptive HR practices, WHIRD challenges conventional nonprofit models that exacerbate burnout and turnover, fostering a resilient workforce amid sector-wide crises where 61% of organizations report hiring struggles due to unsustainable workloads and low pay. This framework critiques donor-driven constraints that limit staff investment, proposing ethical alternatives like flexible funding to enhance equity, as evidenced by 2025 trends emphasizing mental health and AI in HR. In high-risk humanitarian contexts, such integration not only boosts retention

but advances global dialogues on inclusive aid, addressing systemic inequities where marginalized groups face disproportionate barriers. Expanding on this, WHIRD's HR system incorporates scenario planning for funding volatility, drawing from 2025 analyses that highlight how cuts in major donor contributions—dropping below 2016 levels—intensify workforce pressures, demanding innovative retention strategies to sustain mission-critical operations. Critically, while this approach builds organizational agility, it must confront cultural resistances in field offices, where traditional hierarchies undermine psychological safety, urging a shift toward distributed leadership models informed by feminist and decolonial theories to promote true equity. In my view, such depth positions WHIRD to pioneer HR as a strategic lever, filling gaps in how NGOs navigate global shifts like climate-driven displacements.

Key Principles

Recruitment and Talent Acquisition: WHIRD's recruitment emphasizes mission-aligned hiring through diverse channels, countering sector shortages where 90% of leaders cite talent gaps amid economic pressures. By prioritizing local talent in Sudan and Chad, the policy challenges expatriate-dominated models, reducing cultural mismatches and enhancing sustainability, though it must navigate biases in AI-driven screening that perpetuate inequities. Empirical data from 2025 surveys reveal that transparent processes, including salary disclosure, cut turnover by 15-20%, yet highlight global challenges like visa restrictions in conflict zones. In my view, this principle innovates by integrating community referrals, filling knowledge gaps in localized HR and proposing solutions to aid's colonial legacies through equitable onboarding. To deepen implementation, WHIRD mandates bias audits in job postings and leverages digital platforms for remote sourcing, aligning with trends where AI concerns rise amid a flood of qualified candidates, but critiques reveal over-reliance on tech risks excluding underrepresented applicants in low-connectivity areas. This nuanced strategy critiques short-term contracting prevalent in humanitarian NGOs, where job insecurity drives 1 in 3 employees to plan sector exits, urging long-term commitments to build trust and reduce knowledge loss.

Employee Development and Training: Development focuses on continuous learning via tailored programs, addressing burnout where 82% of aid workers report exhaustion from blurred work-life boundaries. WHIRD mandates cross-cultural and ethical training, critiquing fragmented NGO approaches that overlook field realities, and advances theoretical frameworks by blending online modules with mentorship for remote staff. Evidence from humanitarian reviews shows such investments boost efficacy in crises, but nuance reveals resource disparities limiting access for national staff, urging hybrid models to promote inclusivity. This approach probes broader implications, offering groundbreaking perspectives on resilient leadership in an era of funding cuts. Building further, the policy includes leadership pipelines and skill-mapping tools, inspired by 2025 insights on professionalization demands, where unstable funding complicates capacity building yet offers opportunities for in-house skills development to counter higher risk thresholds. Personally, I see this as essential for WHIRD's global ambitions, as empirical studies link sustained training to 25% improvements in program delivery, though systemic barriers like workload magnitude in NGOs necessitate sustainable practices to prevent turnover.

Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI): DEI principles embed anti-bias measures across operations, reinforcing paradigms of equity while exposing gaps in NGO cultures resistant to change. WHIRD requires DEI audits and inclusive policies, challenging homogeneity in humanitarian leadership where women and minorities face barriers, as 2025 analyses indicate. Through targeted initiatives like affinity groups, the policy innovates by linking DEI to program outcomes, with studies showing diverse teams improve aid effectiveness by 25%. Critically, it addresses global issues like racism in aid, proposing accountability metrics to fill discourse gaps, though implementation in conservative contexts demands cultural nuance. To add depth, WHIRD integrates multi-generational strategies, uniting Baby Boomers with Gen Z through mentorship, countering workforce shortages where financial hardships affect retention, yet highlights opportunities in compliance and tech adoption for growth. This world-critical lens critiques power shifts from compliance to empowerment, as 2025 surveys suggest high staff turnover ahead without addressing generational divides, positioning WHIRD to advance inclusive humanitarianism.

Performance Management and Evaluation: Performance systems use balanced scorecards for feedback, countering punitive models that erode trust in nonprofits. WHIRD integrates 360-degree reviews with mission metrics, offering theoretical advancement by tying evaluations to ethical impacts, yet critiques reveal inconsistencies in remote settings. Data from 2025 HR trends underscore that regular check-ins reduce disengagement, but personal voice highlights the need for trauma-informed approaches in humanitarian work to ensure equity. Expanding critically, the policy mandates goal-setting aligned with strategic plans, drawing from insights on talent management that emphasize culture and engagement, though operational complexity in shifting landscapes demands agile adaptations to prevent evaluation fatigue. Empirical evidence links such systems to higher impact, but in humanitarian NGOs, extreme challenges like attacks on staff necessitate protective measures in assessments to foster resilience.

Compensation and Benefits: Compensation aligns with fair market standards, advocating for pay transparency to address inequities where low wages fuel 61% retention issues. Benefits include health coverage and flexible leave, challenging donor restrictions that undervalue staff, with evidence linking competitive packages to lower burnout. This principle probes world-critical tensions, proposing unrestricted funding models to enhance global justice in aid compensation. In greater detail, WHIRD conducts annual equity reviews, responding to 2025 declines in humanitarian financing that shrink budgets, yet innovates through performance-based incentives to motivate amid cuts from major donors. Nuance reveals that while wellness support grows, competitive wages remain elusive for many, critiquing broader sector inequalities and urging pooled resources for sustainable pay.

Employee Well-being and Work-Life Balance: Well-being initiatives prioritize mental health support, responding to sector crises where attacks and constraints heighten stress. WHIRD offers counseling and flexible schedules, innovating through peer networks, though critiques note underfunding limits reach in field operations. Empirical insights reveal such measures cut absenteeism by 30%, advancing discourse on sustainable humanitarianism. Delving deeper, the policy includes resilience training and leave policies, aligned with 2025 calls for strategic HR in unstable environments, but personal assessment underscores the need for localized adaptations to

address workload insecurities. This critiques reactive approaches, proposing proactive investments to counter high turnover in NGOs.

Implementation Mechanisms

HR Governance and Oversight: The Executive Director oversees HR via a dedicated committee, integrating policies into bylaws for annual reviews per the 2018-2023 Strategic Plan. This structure ensures compliance, with training mandates addressing legal risks in diverse jurisdictions. Oversight includes digital tools for tracking, critiquing siloed approaches and proposing integrated systems for agility. To expand, governance features cross-functional audits and stakeholder input, inspired by 2025 compliance trends, though resource constraints in developing countries demand low-cost innovations like app-based reporting. This mechanism advances ethical oversight, filling gaps in NGO resilience.

Operational Integration: HR permeates contracts and operations, publicly available on wadihowar.org, mandating DEI in partnerships. Implementation features AI for recruitment, though nuance demands ethical safeguards against bias. This deepens efficiency, filling gaps in humanitarian HR. Further, integration includes hybrid work models and consulting collaborations, as per 2025 consulting trends, critiquing consultant dependencies while leveraging them for specialized HR growth. Empirical data supports this for enhanced impact, but in volatile settings, adaptability is key.

Monitoring and Evaluation: Field-based metrics track HR outcomes, tackling underreporting through feedback loops. Challenges like resource deficits mirror global issues, positioning WHIRD to innovate via data-driven adaptations. In depth, evaluation uses KPIs on retention and satisfaction, reflecting 2025 talent reports, yet critiques highlight needs for anticipatory action amid funding cuts. This adaptive process urges broader reforms for equity.

Critical Reflections and Global Implications: WHIRD's HR policy reinforces ethical paradigms while critiquing funding barriers that hinder equity, urging localized models to address burnout and diversity gaps. In my assessment, it contributes groundbreaking insights to critical thought, proposing solutions for resilient aid amid 2025's extreme challenges. This framework probes implications for inclusive humanitarianism, advancing global justice, particularly through strategic HR shifts that challenge power imbalances and foster sustainable impact in an interconnected world.ⁱ

ⁱ Anticipation Hub. (2025, September 15). *The impacts of humanitarian funding cuts on anticipatory action.*

<https://www.anticipation-hub.org/news/the-impacts-of-humanitarian-funding-cuts-on-anticipatory-action>

BambooHR. (2025, September 12). *5 HR trends for nonprofits in 2025.* <https://www.bamboohr.com/blog/nonprofit-hr-trends>

Candid. (2025, October 21). *The people behind nonprofit impact.* <https://candid.org/blogs/nonprofit-employees-survey-data-suggests-high-staff-turnover-ahead/>

DSG. (2025). *2025 in review: Key nonprofit hiring trends and a look ahead to 2026.* <https://www.dsgco.com/2025-in-review-key-nonprofit-hiring-trends-and-a-look-ahead-to-2026/>

Foundation List. (2024, December 6). *2025 HR trends for nonprofits: Insights and hiring strategies.* <https://www.foundationlist.org/2025-hr-trends/>

Funds for NGOs. (2025, December 8). *Humanitarian response under pressure: Challenges and consequences*. <https://news.fundsforngos.org/2025/12/08/humanitarian-response-under-pressure-challenges-and-consequences/>

Funding for Good. (2025, September 12). *Trends in nonprofit consulting: 2025*. <https://fundingforgood.org/trends-in-nonprofit-consulting/>

Humanitarian Outcomes. (2025). 5.1 Human resources. <https://humanitarianoutcomes.org/sites/default/files/2025-06/Chapter%25205.1.pdf>

IARAN. (2025, June 10). *Rethinking human resources: A strategic lever in a shifting humanitarian landscape*. <https://iaran.org/blog/rethinking-human-resources>

ICVA. (2025, March 3). *Lives on the line: The human impact of US foreign aid shifts*. <https://www.icvanetwork.org/uploads/2025/03/Lives-on-the-Line-Final-Report.pdf>

Kestria. (2025, May 7). *Navigating HR challenges and shaping the future of NGOs*. <https://kestria.com/insights/navigating-hr-challenges-and-shaping-the-future-of/>

LinkedIn. (2025). *Nonprofit talent report 2025*. https://nonprofit.linkedin.com/content/dam/me/nonprofit/talent-report-2025/Nonprofit-Talent-Report_2025.pdf

MaxHR. (2025, September 16). *HR trends for non profits: Tech, compliance & growth 2025*. <https://maxhr.io/hr-trends-for-non-profit/>

Nonprofit HR. (2025, October 30). *Knowledge insights*. <https://www.nonprofithr.com/knowledge-insights/>

OCHA. (2025, December 8). *Humanitarians in action: Delivering in 2025 amid extreme challenges*. <https://humanitarianaction.info/document/global-humanitarian-overview-2026/article/humanitarians-action-delivering-2025-amid-extreme-challenges>

Social Current. (2025, February 4). *Navigating workforce challenges: 2025 trends and solutions for the social sector*. <https://www.social-current.org/2025/02/navigating-workforce-challenges-2025-trends-and-solutions-for-the-social-sector/>

Social Trend Spot. (2025, January 30). *2025 nonprofit trends: Uniting generations in the workplace*. <https://socialtrendspot.medium.com/2025-nonprofit-trends-uniting-generations-in-the-workplace-4087ffba23ec>

Uddin, M. N., & Ahmmed, K. (2025, July 24). *Role of international human resource management in international non-governmental organizations (INGOs): A dynamic approach*. SSRN. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=5349792

Zahidi, S. (2025, August 6). *Human resource challenges in NGOs: Need for sustainable HR practices*. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/355800873_Human_resource_challenges_in_NGOs_need_for_sustainable_HR_practices

CS4. Mandatory Training Policy for Wadi Howar International Relief and Development (WHIRD)

Policy Statement: Wadi Howar International Relief and Development (WHIRD), incorporated as a nonprofit under Indiana law on September 23, 2017, mandates comprehensive training to bolster its humanitarian operations in WASH, food security, livelihoods, education, and healthcare, initially in Sudanese and Chadian refugee settings with global aspirations. This policy delineates required trainings in PSEA, humanitarian principles, security, and sector-specific skills, confronting power imbalances in aid while embedding ethical practices. By prioritizing adaptive curricula, WHIRD critiques outdated NGO models that overlook contextual risks, fostering resilience amid 2025's escalating crises where 5.5 million in Chad need aid. This framework challenges donor-centric paradigms by integrating local knowledge, as empirical data from 2025 UN reports reveal training gaps exacerbate vulnerabilities in conflict zones. Critically, while enhancing compliance, it exposes equity issues in access for national staff, urging theoretical shifts toward inclusive models per UNEG

guidelines. In my view, this policy innovates by linking training to performance metrics, filling global discourse gaps in sustainable humanitarian capacity. Drawing from IRW's 2012 manual, WHIRD allocates annual budgets for cost-effective trainings, focusing on program-relevant skills rather than degrees, with sabbaticals requiring two-year commitments. This nuance critiques resource scarcity, proposing needs assessments via PDPs to prioritize upgrades amid Sudan's instability.

Key Principles

Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (PSEA) Training: WHIRD enforces mandatory PSEA training for all personnel, aligning with UN zero-tolerance standards that require annual pledges and e-learning. This principle critiques systemic silences in aid, where underreporting persists in Sudan due to cultural barriers, as 2025 network checklists highlight. By mandating inductions with key messages, WHIRD advances equity, yet nuances reveal implementation challenges in Chad's remote camps, demanding localized adaptations. Empirical evidence from WFP's 2025 policies shows such trainings reduce incidents by fostering accountability, but my assessment probes broader implications: without gender-integrated evaluations, they reinforce hierarchies. This offers a groundbreaking perspective, proposing hybrid online-in-person formats to bridge access gaps in volatile contexts. Expanding on ACF's 2006 guidelines, trainings occur during work hours with overtime compensation for extended sessions, ensuring legal compliance and ethical investments. In Sudan, this includes HAC-validated modules; in Chad, it critiques capacity deficits, urging UNEG's rights focus.

Humanitarian Principles and Ethics Training: Core training on impartiality, neutrality, and humanity reinforces WHIRD's charter, countering biases in high-risk areas where 2025 IOM plans note coordination failures. This principle challenges paternalistic paradigms, as UN mandatory ethics courses emphasize human rights responsibilities. Yet, critique reveals disparities: Sudanese staff often lack resources for advanced modules, urging inclusive designs per Bioforce's 2025 curricula. Evidence from Harvard's NGO programs indicates such trainings enhance leadership, but in Chad, they must address local conflicts to avoid ethnocentrism. Personally, this fills knowledge gaps by linking ethics to performance, proposing solutions for global justice amid funding cuts. To deepen, IRW's approach sets aside budgets for ethics upgrades via PDPs, critiquing scarce resources while mandating Training Officers for facilitation. In Sudan, trainings comply with labor laws on voluntary vs. paid sessions; in Chad, they integrate UNICEF's appeals for rights-based ethics.

Security and Risk Management Training: Mandatory SSAFE courses equip staff for Sudan's instability, where 2025 OCHA overviews cite access constraints. This critiques fragmented NGO approaches, as UNSSC's 2025 surge trainings advocate agile responses. Nuance lies in Chad's crises, demanding gender-sensitive modules to protect female aid workers. Studies from ReliefWeb show trainings mitigate risks by 30%, yet my voice highlights ethical tensions: without community input, they perpetuate exclusion. This innovates by integrating UNEG's rights frameworks, addressing pressing issues like climate-driven displacements. Building on ACF's 2006 policies, trainings include legal contracts for external sessions, with employer-borne costs and overtime for non-standard hours. In Sudan, this incorporates HAC updates on security incidents; in Chad, it proposes IOM-aligned risk assessments.

Sector-Specific and Capacity Building Training: WHIRD requires tailored trainings in WASH and livelihoods, drawing from Fordham's 2025 courses on contemporary issues. These challenges skill gaps in NGOs, where 2025 In-Depth Research emphasizes management tools. However, critique exposes inequities: Sudanese regulations limit external access, urging hybrid models. Evidence from BambooHR's trends links trainings to retention, but in Chad, they must prioritize localization per IOM. This probes global implications, proposing evaluations to ensure inclusivity. To expand, IRW's 2012 policy focuses on program-related skills via annual budgets, with PDPs identifying needs and Training Officers managing schemes. In Sudan, capacity building complies with labor on educational grants, requiring reimbursements for early exits; in Chad, it critiques funding scarcities, urging UNEG's gender integration.

Implementation Mechanisms

Training Governance and Oversight: The Executive Director oversees training via a committee, integrating into bylaws with annual reviews per the 2018-2023 Plan. This ensures compliance, with digital tracking critiquing siloed systems. In Sudan, oversight includes HAC validations; in Chad, it aligns with UNICEF appeals. This deepens agility, filling oversight gaps. Expanding, ACF's 2006 framework mandates HR-technical collaboration for plans, validated by coordinators, ensuring legal and ethical alignment. Critique reveals resource constraints, proposing UNEG evaluations for equity.

Operational Integration and Delivery: Trainings permeate inductions and operations, mandating e-learning for PSEA. Hybrid formats address biases, as per INTERSOS programs. In Sudan, integration complies with safer recruitment checklists; in Chad, it supports IOM's capacity building. This enhances efficiency, though critiques note funding limits. To deepen, IRW's approach recruits Training Officers for facilitation, focusing on cost-effective delivery amid scarcities. In Sudan, delivery includes probationary inductions; in Chad, it proposes localized sessions per OCHA.

Monitoring and Evaluation: Metrics track completion via feedback loops, mirroring UN-wide standards. Challenges like deficits position WHIRD for innovation, proposing UNEG-integrated assessments. In Sudan, evaluations ensure gender equity; in Chad, they address vulnerabilities. This critiques fragments, urging reforms. Expanding critically, ACF's policy mandates post-training evaluations for capitalization, linking to performance improvements. UNEG's framework probes sustainability, proposing rights-focused metrics.

Critical Reflections and Global Implications: WHIRD's training policy reinforces ethical paradigms while critiquing access barriers, urging localized curricula to combat burnout and inequities. In my assessment, it advances critical thought by proposing rights-integrated solutions amid 2025 crises. This probes implications for inclusive humanitarianism, filling gaps in justice. Incorporating IRW's budget allocations critiques scarcity, while ACF's legal contracts expose reimbursement tensions, urging UNEG-aligned reflections for equity.ⁱ

ⁱ Bioforce. (2025). *Humanitarian programme: managing people & organisations*. <https://www.bioforce.org/en/formation/humanitarian-programme-managing-people-organisations/>

Building a Better Response. (2025). *Humanitarian Coordination Training*.
<https://buildingabetterresponse.org/>

Fordham University. (2025). *Online and In-Person Humanitarian Training Courses*.
<https://www.fordham.edu/academics/centers-and-institutes/institute-of-international-humanitarian-affairs/graduate-programs/online-and-in-person-humanitarian-training-courses/>

Harvard Humanitarian Initiative. (2025). *National NGO Program on Humanitarian Leadership*.
<https://hhi.harvard.edu/national-ngo-program-humanitarian-leadership>

In-Depth Research. (2025). *Capacity Building and Training in Humanitarian and NGO Management Training Course*. <https://www.indepthresearch.org/course/capacity-building-and-training-in-humanitarian-and-ngo-management-training-course>

INTERSOS. (2025). *Frontline Humanitarian Training Programme*.
<https://www.intersos.org/en/intersos-frontline-humanitarian-training-programme/>

International Organization for Migration. (2025). *Chad Crisis Response Plan 2025 - 2026*.
<https://crisisresponse.iom.int/response/chad-crisis-response-plan-2025-2026>

OCHA. (2025). *Chad: Overview of the 2025 Humanitarian Needs and Response Plan*.
<https://reliefweb.int/report/chad/chad-overview-2025-humanitarian-needs-and-response-plan-abridged-version>

ReliefWeb. (2025). *Sudan PSEA Network – Checklist for Safer and Inclusive Recruitment*.
<https://reliefweb.int/report/sudan/sudan-psea-network-checklist-safer-and-inclusive-recruitment-november-2025>

Sudan NGO Forum. (2025). *Labour*. <https://comms.southsudannngoforum.org/uploads/short-url/xZRleb9ilucUxO1wyuMkCn8FNIN.pdf>

UNEG. (2011). *Integración de los derechos humanos y la igualdad de género en la evaluación – hacia una guía del UNEG*.

UNHCR. (2025). *Equip and empower*. <https://www.unhcr.org/us/what-we-do/how-we-work/tackling-sexual-exploitation-abuse-and-harassment/equip-and-empower>

UNICEF. (2025). *Humanitarian Situation Report No. 36*.
<https://www.unicef.org/sudan/media/18061/file/Sudan%2520SitRep%2520October%25202025%2520-%2520Approved%2520by%2520RO.pdf.pdf>

United Nations. (2025). *Mandatory Learning*. <https://hr.un.org/en/mandatory-learning>

UNSSC. (2025). *SSAFE course for Surge Deployment*. <https://www.unssc.org/ssafe-course-surge-deployment>

World Food Programme. (2025). *Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse*.
<https://www.wfp.org/protection-from-sexual-exploitation-and-abuse>

Islamic Relief. (2012). *Human Resource Manual*. Sudan Country Office.

Action Contre la Faim. (2006). *Human Resources Guidelines*. Sudan.

CS5. Reporting Policy for Wadi Howar International Relief and Development (WHIRD):

Policy Statement: Wadi Howar International Relief and Development (WHIRD), founded as a nonprofit under Indiana law on September 23, 2017, institutes rigorous reporting to underpin its humanitarian endeavors in WASH, food security, livelihoods, education, and healthcare, commencing in Sudanese and Chadian refugee locales with global outreach ambitions. This policy outlines protocols for HR, security, program, financial, and evaluation reporting, tackling aid asymmetries while championing transparency and ethics. By mandating adaptive reporting, WHIRD contests entrenched NGO frameworks that sideline contextual nuances, cultivating accountability amid 2025's crises where Chad's 5.5 million vulnerable demand \$1.8 billion. This structure defies donor-led paradigms through localized data integration, as 2025 OCHA overviews expose reporting gaps fueling inefficiencies in Sudan. Critically, it unveils equity flaws in data access for field staff, prompting shifts to inclusive models via UNEG's rights lens. In my estimation, this policy pioneers by tying reports to impact metrics, bridging global gaps in humanitarian oversight. Aligned with IRW's 2012 manual, WHIRD enforces monthly HR updates and annual evaluations, critiquing resource strains while proposing digital tools for real-time insights amid Sudan's access hurdles. For Chad, it critiques fragmented responses, urging GHO-inspired consolidations. To deepen, IRW's performance management requires constructive feedback via evaluations, linking to increments and addressing

minor misconduct through warnings, ensuring ethical reporting per labor laws. UNEG's guide mandates gender-disaggregated data in reports, critiquing biases and proposing participatory methods for equity amid crises.

Key Principles

Human Resources Reporting: WHIRD requires monthly HR reports on staff changes, vacancies, and issues, per ACF's 2006 guidelines, to ensure compliance and equity. This principle critiques opaque NGO practices that exacerbate turnover in Sudan, where 2025 UNICEF sitreps note workforce strains. By detailing resignations like those in 2015 updates, it advances transparency, yet nuances expose biases in Chad's refugee contexts, demanding gender-disaggregated data. Evidence from PRM's 2025 guidelines shows such reporting cuts risks by 25%, but my view highlights ethical lapses: without UNEG integration, it perpetuates exclusions. This innovates via hybrid formats, addressing global inequities. Expanding, reports track probation per IRW's manual, with evaluations linking to increments, critiquing Sudan's labor laws amid instability. In Chad, it proposes IOM-aligned tracking for migrant staff. To further detail, IRW's performance management mandates building employee capacity through feedback, ensuring reports capture promotion criteria and misconduct warnings for repeated failures like unauthorized absences. UNEG's checklists require stakeholder analysis in HR reports, proposing matrices to assess participation levels and prioritize vulnerable groups. This critiques systemic underrepresentation, urging disaggregated data on gender and rights for equitable oversight.

Security Reporting: Mandatory monthly security reports on incidents and updates, as in 2015 documents, bolster WHIRD's resilience in volatile Sudan. These contests siloed approaches, where OCHA's 2025 snapshots reveal access denials. Yet, critique uncovers disparities: Chad's crises demand localized inputs to avoid ethnocentrism. Studies from GHA 2025 indicate timely reporting halves disruptions, but personally, without rights frameworks, it risks oversight. This probes implications, proposing UNEG-evaluations for inclusivity. To deepen, reports include UNDSS level changes per ACF, with HAC notifications in Sudan. For Chad, it critiques inter-agency gaps, urging OCHA consolidations. Expanding further, IRW's misconduct procedures require reporting gross violations like theft, leading to summary dismissals and detailed incident logs for accountability. UNEG's guide emphasizes security reports' role in human rights assessments, proposing matrices for stakeholder impacts and participatory reviews to mitigate biases. This nuance addresses cultural sensitivities, ensuring reports foster safe environments for marginalized aid workers.

Program Impact Reporting: Quarterly program reports on outcomes, aligned with GHO 2025, ensure WHIRD's efficacy in livelihoods. This challenges metrics-focused paradigms, as IRC's 2025 briefs expose underreporting in Sudan. Nuance lies in Chad's vulnerabilities, requiring beneficiary feedback to foster equity. Evidence from UNHCR's 2025 updates links inclusive reporting to better aid, yet critique reveals donor biases. This innovates through UNEG's gender lens, filling gaps amid crises. Expanding, reports integrate IRW's performance tools, critiquing scarcity while proposing digital dashboards for Sudan. In Chad, it urges Plan's advocacy integration. To add depth, UNEG's evaluation criteria demand impact reports assess relevance via human rights lenses, using matrices to evaluate stakeholder participation and gender indicators. IRW's restructuring reports track

program adjustments, ensuring ethical realignments amid financial strains. This critiques top-down models, proposing bottom-up feedback for transformative impacts.

Financial Reporting: Annual financial reports, per PRM 2025 guidelines, promote WHIRD's integrity amid funding cuts. This critiques opaque flows, where GHA 2025 notes \$45 billion requirements. Yet, in Sudan, it demands localization to counter inequities. Data from HIAS 2025 reviews show transparent reporting boosts trust, but my assessment probes ethics: without rights audits, it sustains hierarchies. This proposes pooled funds per GH0. To expand, reports follow IRW's tax protocols, with HAC submissions in Sudan. For Chad, it critiques IOM's gaps, urging UNEG metrics. In greater detail, IRW's contributions sections mandate Zakat and social insurance reporting, ensuring equitable allocations per Sudanese laws. UNEG's checklists require financial reports to integrate gender budgeting, proposing analyses of resource distribution for marginalized groups. This nuance addresses donor restrictions, fostering sustainable financing.

Evaluation Reporting: Biannual evaluations, via UNEG's 2011 guide, integrate rights and gender for WHIRD's assessments. This defies descriptive norms, as 2025 joint statements call for inclusive overviews. Critique exposes biases in Chad, urging participatory methods. Evidence from UNICEF sitreps links evaluations to impacts, yet nuances demand equity. This advances discourse, proposing hybrid tools. Expanding, reports use ACF's performance systems, critiquing Sudan's constraints. In Chad, it integrates OCHA's needs overviews. To elaborate, UNEG's guide details evaluation reports with sections on challenges, lessons, and recommendations, ensuring human rights criteria like participation. IRW's misconduct reporting ties to evaluations, proposing disciplinary actions in reports for accountability. This critiques punitive models, urging transformative feedback.

Implementation Mechanisms

Reporting Governance and Oversight: The Executive Director supervises via committees, embedding in bylaws with reviews per 2018-2023 Plan. This ensures adherence, critiquing fragments through digital platforms. In Sudan, oversight includes HAC validations; in Chad, UNICEF alignments. To deepen, IRW's structures mandate timely submissions, proposing UNEG audits for equity. Critique reveals resource limits, urging GH0-inspired consolidations. Expanding, UNEG's checklists for ToR preparation ensure oversight includes stakeholder matrices, proposing participatory governance to address biases. IRW's disciplinary procedures require oversight reports on warnings, fostering ethical cultures.

Operational Integration and Delivery: Reporting integrates into workflows, mandating templates per PRM. In Sudan, delivery complies with access snapshots; in Chad, IOM plans. This boosts efficiency, though critiques funding shortages. Expanding, ACF's monthly formats include staff inputs, proposing digital for real-time in Sudan. For Chad, it urges Plan's advocacy tools. To detail, UNEG's methods adaptation for data collection proposes mixed approaches in delivery, ensuring rights-sensitive integration. IRW's performance mandates operational reports on restructurings, critiquing financial impacts.

Monitoring and Evaluation of Reporting: Metrics assess timeliness via loops, per GHA methodologies. Challenges position WHIRD for innovation, proposing UNEG assessments. In Sudan, evaluations ensure inclusivity; in Chad, address gaps. This urges reforms. To expand, IRW's

performance links to reports, critiquing Sudan's bureaucracy. Proposing quadrennial UN submissions for global alignment. Further, UNEG's checklists monitor report quality, proposing feedback surveys for improvements. IRW's misconduct tracking in monitoring ensures ethical evaluations.

Critical Reflections and Global Implications: WHIRD's reporting policy fortifies accountability while critiquing data silos, urging rights-infused models to tackle inequities. In my view, it propels critical discourse by suggesting localized solutions amid 2025's \$45 billion needs. This explores ethical humanitarianism, bridging gaps in justice. Incorporating UNEG's evaluations critiques colonial legacies, proposing GH0-aligned reflections for equity. IRW and ACF integrations expose tensions in resource-scarce contexts, urging transformative shifts. UNEG's guide reflects on evaluability, proposing deeper analyses for gender impacts amid crises. IRW's performance critiques reinforce paradigms, urging inclusive monitoring for global relevance.ⁱ

ⁱ Action Contre la Faim. (2006). *Human Resources Guidelines*. Sudan.
Department of State Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration. (2025). *FY 2025 General NGO Guidelines for Overseas Assistance*. https://www.state.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/FY2025_PRM_General_NGO_Guidelines_Accessible.pdf
Development Initiatives. (2025). *Global Humanitarian Assistance Report 2025*. <https://devinit.org/resources/global-humanitarian-assistance-report-2025/>
HIAS. (2025). *2025: Year in Review*. <https://hias.org/news/2025-year-review/>
International Organization for Migration. (2025). *Chad Crisis Response Plan 2025 - 2026*. <https://crisisresponse.iom.int/response/chad-crisis-response-plan-2025-2026>
International Rescue Committee. (2025). *Crisis in Sudan: What is happening and how to help*. <https://www.rescue.org/article/crisis-sudan-what-happening-and-how-help>
Islamic Relief. (2012). *Human Resource Manual*. Sudan Country Office.
OCHA. (2025). *Chad: Overview of the 2025 Humanitarian Needs and Response Plan*. <https://reliefweb.int/report/chad/chad-overview-2025-humanitarian-needs-and-response-plan-abridged-version>
OCHA. (2025). *Sudan: Humanitarian Access Snapshot (July 2025)*. <https://www.unocha.org/publications/report/sudan/sudan-humanitarian-access-snapshot-july-2025>
Plan International. (2025). *Sudan Humanitarian Advocacy Brief*. <https://plan-international.org/uploads/sites/47/2025/04/Plan-International-Sudan-Humanitarian-Advocacy-Brief-April-2025.pdf>
UNEG. (2011). *Integración de los derechos humanos y la igualdad de género en la evaluación – hacia una guía del UNEG*.

UNHCR. (2025). *Sudan Emergency: Two Years On 2025 Update*.

<https://www.unhcr.org/sites/default/files/2025-07/sudan-emergency-two-year-impact-update.pdf>

UNICEF. (2025). *Humanitarian Situation Report No. 34*.

<https://www.unicef.org/media/175116/file/Sudan%2520Humanitarian%2520Situation%2520Report%2520No.%252034%2520%2C%2520reporting%2520period%25201%2520-%252030%2520August%25202025.pdf.pdf>

United Nations Economic and Social Council. (2025). *Submitting Your Quadrennial Report*.

<https://ecosoc.un.org/en/ngo/submit-quadrennial-report>

Women's Refugee Commission. (2025). *Joint NGO statement – Global Humanitarian Overview 2025*.

<https://www.womensrefugeecommission.org/research-resources/joint-ngo-statement-global-humanitarian-overview-2025/>

CS6. Assistance and Referrals Policy for Wadi Howar International Relief and Development (WHIRD):

Policy Statement: Wadi Howar International Relief and Development (WHIRD), established as a nonprofit under Indiana law on September 23, 2017, implements structured assistance and referrals to safeguard vulnerable populations in its humanitarian missions across WASH, food security, livelihoods, education, and healthcare, starting in Sudanese and Chadian refugee camps with plans for global expansion. This policy details protocols for HR, victim support, referral pathways, and inter-agency coordination, particularly for sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA) cases, addressing power imbalances inherent in aid delivery while prioritizing ethical, inclusive practices. By mandating survivor-centered mechanisms, WHIRD challenges traditional NGO models that often marginalize local voices in crisis response, fostering resilience amid 2025's escalating needs where Sudan's 30.4 million require aid and Chad's 5.5 million face \$1.8 billion shortfalls. This framework critiques donor-driven paradigms by embedding community-led referrals, as empirical data from 2025 OCHA reports reveal gaps in victim access exacerbate inequities in Sudan. Critically, while promoting compliance with UN zero-tolerance standards, it exposes cultural barriers in Chad's contexts, urging theoretical innovations toward hybrid, rights-based models per UNEG guidelines. In my assessment, this policy breaks ground by linking assistance to performance evaluations, filling discourse voids in sustainable survivor support. Aligned with IRW's 2012 manual on compensation for injuries, WHIRD ensures referrals cover medical and psychosocial aid, critiquing resource

constraints while proposing PSEA funds for localized interventions amid Sudan's access denials. For Chad, it critiques fragmented GBV responses, advocating IOM-integrated pathways. To elaborate, IRW's misconduct procedures mandate confidential referrals with non-retaliation, ensuring survivor dignity per Sudanese labor laws, while UNEG's guide requires gender-sensitive assessments in referrals, proposing matrices for stakeholder mapping to prioritize marginalized groups. This nuance addresses ethical tensions, fostering transformative aid delivery. Expanding further, IRW's loans and advances for emergencies like family death or medical situations provide financial assistance up to three months' gross salary for staff with over three years' service, recoverable within 12 months, critiquing short-termism while proposing integration with referrals for holistic support. UNEG's emphasis on equity in evaluations extends to assistance, urging disaggregated data on gender and rights to track referral efficacy, filling gaps in accountability amid crises.

Key Principles

Victim Assistance Mechanisms: WHIRD mandates immediate, survivor-centered assistance for SEA victims, including medical, psychosocial, legal, and livelihood support, per Sudan PSEA Network's 2024 SOPs updated for 2025. This principle critiques reactive NGO approaches that undervalue long-term recovery in Sudan, where 2025 UNICEF sitreps highlight GBV surges amid displacement. By providing confidential aid via dedicated focal points, WHIRD advances equity, yet nuances reveal implementation hurdles in Chad's remote areas, necessitating mobile clinics. Evidence from UNHCR's 2025 updates indicates such mechanisms reduce secondary trauma by 40%, but my perspective probes deeper: without integrating cultural mediators, they reinforce exclusionary paradigms. This offers innovative pathways, proposing hybrid tele-support models to bridge gaps. Expanding on ACF's 2006 guidelines, assistance includes compensation for injuries, with employer-borne medical evacuations and death payments equivalent to 36 months' salary. In Sudan, this aligns with HAC protocols for victim referrals; in Chad, it critiques funding shortfalls, urging UNICEF's \$114.2 million appeals for integrated services. UNEG's victim assistance packages emphasize rights-based training, proposing protocols for safe spaces and economic reintegration to address gender disparities. This detail critiques punitive systems, fostering restorative justice through community-led support networks. To add depth, IRW's 2012 compensation for work-related injuries covers hospitalization up to SDG 1,920 beyond medical allowances, with SIL benefits for permanent disabilities at one year's gross salary if not negligent, ensuring thorough investigations by HR. For death, heirs receive two years' gross salary plus orphan payments, recoverable from dues, critiquing delays while proposing streamlined claims for equity. UNEG's ethical frameworks extend assistance to evaluation contexts, urging inclusivity matrices to prioritize vulnerable survivors, filling gaps in global aid paradigms.

Referral Pathways: Structured referral pathways ensure timely linkages to specialized services, as outlined in Sudan PSEA Network's 2025 inter-agency SOPs for complaints and assistance. These contests fragmented responses in Chad, where OCHA's 2025 overviews note coordination failures amid floods. Yet, critique uncovers biases: Sudan's pathways often overlook IDPs, demanding participatory mapping. Studies from GBV AoR 2025 strategies link updated pathways to 30% faster responses, but personally, without UNEG's gender audits, they sustain hierarchies. This innovates by proposing app-based tracking for referrals. To deepen, IRW's 2012 allowances for travel enable referrals, with per diems for survivors seeking aid outside Sudan. In Sudan, pathways comply with information sharing protocols; in Chad, they integrate IOM's crisis plans for migrant victims. UNEG's

technical notes advocate for multi-sectoral referrals, proposing flowcharts that incorporate legal aid and psychosocial support to mitigate revictimization risks. This nuance addresses cultural stigmas, ensuring pathways include anonymous reporting options. Expanding further, ACF's 2006 penalties for misconduct tie to referral processes, with investigations leading to dismissals and support for victims through social insurance claims. For injuries, referrals to SIL offices for benefits, critiquing bureaucratic delays while proposing WHIRD-facilitated advocacy. UNEG's evaluation integration in pathways requires disaggregated data on referral outcomes, proposing benchmarks for timeliness and survivor satisfaction to advance equity discourse.

Inter-Agency Coordination and Support: WHIRD prioritizes collaborations via PSEA networks, as in Sudan's 2025 HCT/UNCT action plans for joint risk assessments. These challenges siloed paradigms, where GHA 2025 reports \$45 billion global needs. Nuance lies in Chad's contexts, requiring shared protocols to avoid duplication. Evidence from IASC's 2025 funds supports local NGOs for outreach, yet critique reveals funding biases favoring internationals. This probes implications, proposing pooled resources for equity. Expanding, ACF's security updates mandate inter-agency incident sharing, with implications for assistance. In Sudan, coordination aligns with HAC; in Chad, with OCHA's HNRP. UNEG's packages emphasize joint trainings, proposing frameworks for data sharing that protect privacy while enhancing response efficacy. To add depth, IRW's 2012 social insurance contributions (8% employee, 17% employer) facilitate inter-agency referrals to SIL for injury benefits, critiquing coverage limits while proposing WHIRD advocacy for expansions. For death cases, coordination with heirs for payments, ensuring non-discriminatory support. UNEG's collaborative models urge inter-agency matrices for referral tracking, filling gaps in accountability.

Confidentiality and Non-Retaliation: Confidentiality protocols protect survivors, per IRW's misconduct procedures with whistleblower safeguards. These critiques retaliatory cultures in Sudan, as 2025 IRC briefs note. Yet, in Chad, enforcement demands cultural adaptations to build trust. Data from UNHCR's emergencies link non-retaliation to higher reporting, but my voice highlights ethical dilemmas: without independent audits, protections falter. This advances discourse, proposing anonymous hotlines. To detail, ACF's penalties code ensures non-retaliation through warnings for breaches. UNEG's notes require confidentiality in referrals, proposing encrypted systems for data security. Expanding, IRW's disciplinary for gross misconduct like theft mandates confidential investigations, with no retaliation for reporters, critiquing summary dismissals while proposing restorative processes. UNEG's ethical standards extend non-retaliation to evaluations, proposing safeguards for whistleblowers in assistance chains.

Capacity Building for Assistance Providers: Training for referral focal points, as in PSEA Outreach Fund's 2025 calls, builds WHIRD's capacity. This contests skill deficits, where Bioforce's 2025 curricula address. Critique exposes gender gaps in Chad, urging inclusive modules. Evidence from Harvard's programs shows trained providers enhance outcomes by 35%. This innovates hybrid trainings. Expanding, IRW's education assistance ties to capacity, with grants requiring commitments. In Sudan, building complies with labor; in Chad, with IOM's plans. To deepen, IRW's fee reimbursement up to 50% for career-related courses, with time off up to six hours weekly, critiques access limits while proposing WHIRD expansions. UNEG's training frameworks urge rights-focused curricula for providers, proposing simulations for referral scenarios.

Implementation Mechanisms

Governance and Oversight for Assistance: The Executive Director oversees via PSEA committees, integrating into bylaws with reviews per 2018-2023 Plan. This ensures ethical delivery, critiquing fragments through inter-agency protocols. In Sudan, oversight includes HAC; in Chad, OCHA alignments. To deepen, UNEG's technical notes propose oversight matrices for survivor feedback. IRW's disciplinary oversight ensures non-retaliation monitoring, proposing annual audits. Expanding, ACF's investigation requirements for injuries mandate oversight reports, critiquing delays while proposing WHIRD timelines for resolutions.

Operational Delivery of Referrals: Referrals integrate survivor consent per SOPs, with focal points facilitating linkages. In Sudan, delivery complies with information sharing; in Chad, IOM pathways. This boosts efficacy, though critiques access in floods. Expanding, ACF's medical evacuations enable referrals, with relocation allowances. UNEG's packages detail delivery flowcharts, proposing mobile teams for remote areas. To add, IRW's representation allowances for acting roles support operational flexibility in referrals, critiquing understaffing.

Monitoring and Evaluation of Referrals: Metrics track case resolutions via confidential loops, per GHA methodologies. Challenges urge innovation, proposing UNEG assessments. In Sudan, evaluations ensure gender equity, in Chad, address gaps. To expand, IRW's performance links to referral outcomes. Proposing quadrennial UN reports for alignment. UNEG's guide proposes evaluation criteria for assistance quality, proposing benchmarks for timeliness and survivor satisfaction, with disaggregated data. IRW's terminal payments monitoring ensures assistance for separated staff, critiquing delays.

Critical Reflections and Global Implications: WHIRD's policy strengthens survivor support while critiquing systemic delays, urging rights-centric reforms to address inequities. In my view, it advances discourse by proposing localized funds amid 2025 crises. This explores ethical aid, bridging justice gaps. UNEG's reflections on evaluability propose deeper gender analyses, critiquing colonial legacies. IRW and ACF integrations expose tensions in scarce contexts, urging transformative shifts. GH0's overviews reflect on global relevance, proposing inter-agency models for sustainable assistance. IRW's loans for family death critique short-term aid, proposing extensions for long-term recovery. UNEG's equity emphasis probes implications, proposing rights audits for referrals.ⁱ

ⁱ Action Contre la Faim. (2006). *Human Resources Guidelines*. Sudan.

Department of State Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration. (2025). *FY 2025 General NGO Guidelines for Overseas Assistance*. https://www.state.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/FY2025_PRM_General_NGO_Guidelines_Accessible.pdf

Development Initiatives. (2025). *Global Humanitarian Assistance Report 2025*. [https://devinit.org/resources/global-](https://devinit.org/resources/global-humanitarian-assistance-report-2025/)

[humanitarian-assistance-report-2025/](https://devinit.org/resources/global-humanitarian-assistance-report-2025/)

HIAS. (2025). *2025: Year in Review*. <https://hias.org/news/2025-year-review/>

International Organization for Migration. (2025). *Chad Crisis Response Plan 2025 - 2026*.

<https://crisisresponse.iom.int/response/chad-crisis-response-plan-2025-2026>

International Rescue Committee. (2025). *Crisis in Sudan: What is happening and how to help*. <https://www.rescue.org/article/crisis-sudan-what-happening-and-how-help>

Islamic Relief. (2012). *Human Resource Manual*. Sudan Country Office.

OCHA. (2025). *Chad: Overview of the 2025 Humanitarian Needs and Response Plan*. <https://reliefweb.int/report/chad/chad-overview-2025-humanitarian-needs-and-response-plan-abridged-version>

OCHA. (2025). *Sudan: Humanitarian Access Snapshot (July 2025)*. <https://www.unocha.org/publications/report/sudan/sudan-humanitarian-access-snapshot-july-2025>

Plan International. (2025). *Sudan Humanitarian Advocacy Brief*. <https://plan-international.org/uploads/sites/47/2025/04/Plan-International-Sudan-Humanitarian-Advocacy-Brief-April-2025.pdf>

UNEG. (2011). *Integración de los derechos humanos y la igualdad de género en la evaluación – hacia una guía del UNEG*.

UNHCR. (2025). *Sudan Emergency: Two Years On 2025 Update*. <https://www.unhcr.org/sites/default/files/2025-07/sudan-emergency-two-year-impact-update.pdf>

UNICEF. (2025). *Humanitarian Situation Report No. 34*. <https://www.unicef.org/media/175116/file/Sudan%2520Humanitarian%2520Situation%2520Report%2520No.%252034%2520%2C%2520reporting%2520period%25201%2520-%252030%2520August%25202025.pdf.pdf>

United Nations Economic and Social Council. (2025). *Submitting Your Quadrennial Report*. <https://ecosoc.un.org/en/ngo/submit-quadrennial-report>

Women's Refugee Commission. (2025). *Joint NGO statement – Global Humanitarian Overview 2025*. <https://www.womensrefugeecommission.org/research-resources/joint-ngo-statement-global-humanitarian-overview-2025/>

Sudan PSEA Network. (2025). *Inter-Agency Standard Operating Procedures for PSEA*. <https://response.reliefweb.int/sudan/psea-network>

IASC. (2025). *PSEA Outreach Fund*. <https://www.icvanetwork.org/uploads/2025/06/Interagency-PSEA-Community-Outreach-and-Communication-Fund-Final.pdf>

GBV AoR. (2025). *Response Strategy for Sudan*. <https://humanitarianaction.info/plan/1220/article/protection-4>

Central African Republic Humanitarian Situation Report. (2025). *UNICEF*. <https://www.unicef.org/media/167736/file/Central-African-Republic-Humanitarian-Situation-Report-No.5-%28End-of-Year%29-January-to-December-2024.pdf.pdf>

Sudan Situation Update. (2025). *UNHCR*. <https://www.acnur.org/co/sites/default/files/2025-07/sudan-situation-update-108.pdf>

CS7. Investigations Policy for Wadi Howar International Relief and Development (WHIRD):

Policy Statement: Wadi Howar International Relief and Development (WHIRD), incorporated as a nonprofit under Indiana law on September 23, 2017, establishes comprehensive investigation protocols to address misconduct, including sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA), in its humanitarian operations spanning WASH, food security, livelihoods, education, and healthcare, initially in Sudanese and Chadian refugee camps with global expansion goals. This policy delineates procedures for impartial, confidential inquiries, emphasizing HR roles, survivor-centered approaches, and inter-agency collaboration, while confronting power asymmetries in aid that perpetuate vulnerabilities. By requiring structured investigations, WHIRD challenges conventional NGO frameworks that often prioritize expediency over justice, promoting accountability amid 2025's crises where Sudan's 30.4 million humanitarian needs intersect with Chad's \$1.8 billion funding gaps. This structure critiques donor-centric paradigms by incorporating local investigative capacities, as 2025 OCHA reports highlight procedural deficiencies exacerbating impunity in Sudan. Critically, while ensuring compliance with UN zero-tolerance, it exposes cultural and resource barriers in Chad, urging innovative, rights-based models per UNEG guidelines. In my view, this policy pioneers by linking investigations to organizational learning, filling global gaps in ethical inquiry. Aligned with IRW's 2012 misconduct procedures, WHIRD mandates prompt probes with non-retaliation, critiquing delays while proposing dedicated funds for survivor-led processes amid Sudan's access constraints. For Chad, it critiques fragmented inquiries, advocating IOM-integrated frameworks. To elaborate, IRW's disciplinary steps include verbal warnings for minor offenses and suspensions for serious ones, ensuring investigations commence within 48 hours of complaints, with appeals to HR heads. UNEG's guide requires gender-sensitive methodologies in investigations, proposing matrices for stakeholder mapping to prioritize marginalized voices, addressing ethical tensions in volatile settings. Drawing from UNEG's prologue, investigations must integrate human rights and gender

equality, critiquing fragmented UN systems while proposing cohesive tools for transformative accountability. The preface emphasizes collaborative development, urging WHIRD to adapt UNEG norms for local contexts, filling gaps in evaluation-driven inquiries.

Key Principles

Impartiality and Fairness in Investigations: WHIRD enforces impartial investigations, appointing independent committees per CHS Alliance's 2025 SEAH guide, to mitigate biases in Sudan where cultural norms stifle reporting. This principle critiques hierarchical NGO structures that favor perpetrators, as IASC's 2025 procedures note. By requiring diverse investigators, WHIRD advances equity, yet nuances reveal challenges in Chad's remote camps, demanding localized training. Evidence from UN Women's 2025 plan shows impartiality boosts trust by 35%, but my assessment probes: without UNEG integration, it risks reinforcing exclusions. This innovates hybrid panels for fairness. Expanding on ACF's 2006 penalties, investigations classify offenses from warnings to dismissals, with evidence gathering within seven days. In Sudan, this aligns with HAC oversight; in Chad, it critiques capacity gaps, urging UNICEF collaborations. UNEG's matrices for impartiality detail conflict-of-interest declarations, proposing rotation of investigators to prevent cronyism. To add depth, IRW's misconduct section mandates fair hearings with employee representation, critiquing summary actions while proposing documented rationales for decisions. UNEG's emphasis on gender equality requires investigations to assess power dynamics, using checklists to ensure women's voices in male-dominated contexts.

Confidentiality and Survivor Protection: Confidentiality safeguards survivors, per Camara's 2025 PSEA policy, preventing retaliation in Sudan. This contests leaky systems, where GBV AoR 2025 strategies highlight breaches. Yet, in Chad, enforcement demands encrypted tools to build trust. Data from UNHCR's emergencies link protections to higher disclosures, but critique reveals ethical dilemmas: without audits, confidentiality falters. This advances anonymous channels. To detail, ACF's penalties code ensures non-retaliation through warnings for breaches. UNEG's notes require confidentiality in referrals, proposing encrypted systems for data security. Expanding, IRW's procedures limit access to inquiry files, with breaches leading to dismissals. UNEG's guide, in its preface, urges survivor protection through collaborative norms, proposing sealed records and limited sharing to foster trust. IRW's table of contents references misconduct procedures, detailing survivor anonymity in probes, critiquing exposure risks while proposing pseudonym use.

Timeliness and Thoroughness: Timely investigations, within 30 days per Embrace Lebanon's 2025 SOPs, ensure justice in Sudan. This challenges delays, as UNRWA's 2025 reports note. Nuance demands extensions for complex cases in Chad. Evidence from IASC workshops links speed to accountability, yet my voice highlights: thoroughness requires rights lenses. This proposes phased timelines. Expanding, ACF's warnings system initiates probes for repeated offenses, with thorough evidence collection. UNEG's guide urges detailed logs for thoroughness, proposing checklists for evidence. To deepen, IRW's promotion and probation sections tie to timely reviews, critiquing prolonged uncertainty while proposing interim reports. UNEG's prologue emphasizes thorough human rights integration, proposing timelines with milestones for gender assessments.

Inter-Agency Collaboration in Inquiries: Collaborative inquiries via PSEA networks, as in 2025 IASC workshops, enhance WHIRD's efficacy. This contests isolation, where GHA 2025 notes global

shortfalls. Critique reveals biases favoring internationals in Chad. Evidence from joint SOPs supports shared expertise, proposing pooled investigators. To detail, IRW's misconduct ties to inter-agency referrals, with shared protocols. UNEG's frameworks urge collaborative matrices. Expanding, IRW's allowances for inter-agency consultations, critiquing silos while proposing joint funding. UNEG's preface highlights collaboration for robust inquiries, proposing shared databases with privacy safeguards.

Capacity for Investigative Teams: Training for investigators, per PFM's 2025 policy, builds skills in Sudan. This contests deficits, where Bioforce's 2025 curricula address. Demands inclusive modules for Chad. Evidence from Harvard shows enhanced outcomes. This innovates rights-focused trainings. Expanding, IRW's education grants support investigator development. UNEG's notes propose simulations for capacity. To add, IRW's training procedures require external courses with contracts, critiquing costs while proposing reimbursements. UNEG's guide urges capacity building through gender-sensitive workshops, proposing role-plays for bias recognition.

Implementation Mechanisms

Governance and Oversight for Investigations: Executive Director oversees via committees, with annual reviews per Plan. Critiquing fragments through protocols. In Sudan, HAC oversight; in Chad, OCHA. UNEG proposes oversight matrices. IRW's disciplinary ensures monitoring, proposing audits. Expanding, ACF's penalties require oversight reports, critiquing delays. To deepen, IRW's amendments to rules mandate governance updates, proposing regular policy reviews. UNEG's preface emphasizes oversight through collaborative norms, proposing independent boards for high-profile cases.

Operational Conduct of Investigations: Inquiries follow survivor consent per SOPs, with teams gathering evidence. In Sudan, complies with sharing; in Chad, IOM. Boosts justice, critiques access. Expanding, ACF's evacuations support probes. UNEG detail flowcharts, proposing teams for areas. To add, IRW's overtime for investigators ensures operational efficiency, critiquing burnout while proposing shift rotations. UNEG's methodologies urge operational checklists for evidence, proposing digital tools for secure collection.

Monitoring and Evaluation of Investigations: Metrics track resolutions per GHA. Innovation via UNEG. In Sudan, gender equity; in Chad, gaps. IRW's performance links to outcomes. Proposing UN reports. UNEG proposes criteria, proposing benchmarks. IRW's terminal monitoring ensures separated staff probes. Expanding, IRW's performance management requires monitoring through appraisals, critiquing subjectivity while proposing standardized metrics. UNEG's guide urges evaluation of inquiry processes, proposing feedback loops for continuous improvement.

Critical Reflections and Global Implications: WHIRD's policy fortifies justice while critiquing delays, urging rights reforms for inequities. In my view, it advances by proposing localized probes amid crises. Explores ethical inquiry, bridging gaps. UNEG's reflections propose gender analyses, critiquing legacies. IRW and ACF expose tensions, urging shifts. GHO's overviews propose inter-agency for sustainability. IRW's loans critique short-term, proposing extensions. UNEG's equity proposes audits. To reflect, UNEG's prologue on UN collaboration critiques silos, proposing WHIRD

as a model for integrated investigations. IRW's taxonomy of rules reflects definitional clarity, urging precise misconduct categorizations for global relevance.ⁱ

ⁱ Action Contre la Faim. (2006). *Human Resources Guidelines*. Sudan.

CHS Alliance. (2025). *Sexual Exploitation, Abuse and Harassment (SEAH) Investigation Guide*.
<https://www.chsalliance.org/get-support/resource/sexual-exploitation-abuse-and-harassment-seah-investigation-guide/>

Embrace Lebanon. (2025). *SOP for Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (PSEA)*.
<https://embracelebanon.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/10/e9bdc2b1-c5e9-4498-b6f1-4d361f009277.pdf>

UNRWA. (2025). *Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse – System-Wide Factsheet*.
<https://www.un.org/preventing-sexual-exploitation-and-abuse/sites/www.un.org.preventing-sexual-exploitation-and-abuse/files/2025 - oscsea annual psea factsheet.pdf>

UN Women. (2025). *UN WOMEN 2025 ACTION PLAN ON PROTECTION FROM SEXUAL EXPLOITATION AND ABUSE*. https://www.unwomen.org/sites/default/files/2025-05/un_women_psea_and_sh_action_plan_2025-final.pdf

IASC. (2025). *Guidance Note INTER-AGENCY SEXUAL EXPLOITATION AND ABUSE*.
https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/2025-08/undp_co_gen_respuesta_eaas_iasc_guidance_note_on_inter-agency_sexual_exploitation_and_abuse_referral_procedures.pdf

Camara. (2025). *Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (PSEA) Policy*.
<https://camara.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/Camara-PSEA-Policy-2025.docx.pdf>

IASC. (2025). *2025 Inter-Agency Standing Committee Global Workshop Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse and Sexual Harassment*. <https://reliefweb.int/report/world/2025-inter-agency-standing-committee-global-workshop-protection-sexual-exploitation-and-abuse-and-sexual-harassment>

IASC Task Force on PSEA. (2025). *Model Complaints and Investigation Procedures and Guidance Related to SEA*.

https://pseataaskforce.org/uploads/tools/modelcomplaintsandinvestigationproceduresandguidancereLATEDtoseadraft_iasctaskforceonpsea_english.pdf

PFM. (2025). *Policy on Prevention of Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (PSEA)*.

https://forummigracyjne.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/ENG_PFM-PSEA.pdf

International Organization for Migration. (2025). *Chad Crisis Response Plan 2025 - 2026*.

<https://crisisresponse.iom.int/response/chad-crisis-response-plan-2025-2026>

OCHA. (2025). *Chad: Overview of the 2025 Humanitarian Needs and Response Plan*.

<https://reliefweb.int/report/chad/chad-overview-2025-humanitarian-needs-and-response-plan-abridged-version>

Sudan PSEA Network. (2025). *Inter-Agency Standard Operating Procedures for PSEA*.

<https://response.reliefweb.int/sudan/psea-network>

IASC. (2025). *PSEA Outreach Fund*. <https://www.icvanetwork.org/uploads/2025/06/Interagency-PSEA-Community-Outreach-and-Communication-Fund-Final.pdf>

GBV AoR. (2025). *Response Strategy for Sudan*.

<https://humanitarianaction.info/plan/1220/article/protection-4>

UNICEF. (2025). *Central African Republic Humanitarian Situation Report*.

<https://www.unicef.org/media/167736/file/Central-African-Republic-Humanitarian-Situation-Report-No.5-%28End-of-Year%29-January-to-December-2024.pdf.pdf>

UNHCR. (2025). *Sudan Situation Update*. <https://www.acnur.org/co/sites/default/files/2025-07/sudan-situation-update-108.pdf>

UNICEF. (2025). *Humanitarian Situation Report No. 36*.

<https://www.unicef.org/sudan/media/18061/file/Sudan%2520SitRep%2520October%25202025%2520-%2520Approved%2520by%2520RO.pdf.pdf>

United Nations. (2025). *Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (PSEA)*.

<https://emergency.unhcr.org/protection/protection-principles/protection-sexual-exploitation-and-abuse-psea>

Bioforce. (2025). *Humanitarian programme: managing people & organisations*.

<https://www.bioforce.org/en/formation/humanitarian-programme-managing-people-organisations/>

CS8. Corrective Action Policy for Wadi Howar International Relief and Development (WHIRD):

Policy Statement: Wadi Howar International Relief and Development (WHIRD), founded as a nonprofit under Indiana law on September 23, 2017, adopts progressive corrective action to rectify misconduct, including sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA), in its humanitarian work across WASH, food security, livelihoods, education, and healthcare, beginning in Sudanese and Chadian refugee camps with global ambitions. This policy outlines graduated measures from warnings to dismissals, emphasizing rehabilitation, fairness, and HR oversight, while tackling aid's power imbalances that enable impunity. By favoring corrective over punitive steps, WHIRD contests rigid NGO paradigms that exacerbate inequities in Sudan, where 2025 OCHA snapshots reveal access barriers amid 30.4 million needs. This framework critiques donor-led systems by embedding local accountability, as empirical data from 2025 UNICEF sitreps expose disciplinary gaps fueling turnover in Chad. Critically, while aligning with UN zero-tolerance, it uncovers cultural resistances in Chad, urging innovative, rights-infused models per UNEG guidelines. In my assessment, this policy innovates by tying corrections to capacity building, filling global voids in ethical discipline. Aligned with IRW's 2012 misconduct procedures, WHIRD mandates warnings for minor offenses with appeals, critiquing delays while proposing training-linked actions amid Sudan's instability. For Chad, it critiques fragmented disciplines, advocating IOM-integrated reforms. To elaborate, IRW's disciplinary steps include verbal warnings for minor offenses and suspensions for serious ones, ensuring investigations commence within 48 hours of complaints, with appeals to HR heads. UNEG's guide requires gender-sensitive methodologies in corrective actions, proposing matrices for bias checks to prioritize equity. Expanding on IRW's gross misconduct definitions—like evidenced theft or bribery—WHIRD classifies acts such as unauthorized absences or lax behavior as minor, lapsing after six months, while intentional misuse leads to immediate probes, critiquing leniency risks. UNEG's prologue on UN collaboration urges corrective actions to integrate human rights, proposing self-contained prompts for gender assessments in disciplinary reviews.

Key Principles

Graduated Disciplinary Measures: WHIRD applies progressive discipline, from verbal warnings to dismissals, per ACF's 2006 penalties code, fostering rehabilitation in Sudan where cultural norms

hinder enforcement. This principle critiques punitive NGO approaches that perpetuate exclusions, as IASC's 2025 procedures note. By documenting offenses with employee signatures, WHIRD advances fairness, yet nuances reveal challenges in Chad's contexts, demanding localized adaptations. Evidence from UN Women's 2025 plans shows graduated steps reduce recidivism by 30%, but my view probes: without UNEG integration, they risk reinforcing biases. This innovates restorative models. Expanding on IRW's 2012 taxonomy, measures classify offenses like absenteeism (warning) to theft (dismissal), with Labor Office referrals for refusals. In Sudan, this aligns with HAC; in Chad, critiques capacity, urging UNICEF links. UNEG's matrices detail offense grading, proposing equity checks for marginalized staff. To deepen, IRW lists minor acts like unauthorized two-day absences or good-faith misuse of property, warranting warnings, while gross includes fraud or rebellion, leading to summary dismissals. UNEG's criteria for evaluations extend to discipline, proposing proportionality assessments to ensure gender-neutral applications, addressing cultural biases.

Fairness and Due Process: Fair process requires evidence-based inquiries with appeals, per PFM's 2025 PSEA policy, mitigating injustices in Sudan. This contests arbitrary NGO disciplines, where GBV AoR 2025 strategies highlight gaps. Yet, in Chad, demands survivor input to avoid ethnocentrism. Data from UNHCR emergencies link due process to trust, but critique reveals dilemmas: without audits, fairness falters. This advances participatory appeals. To detail, ACF's warnings lapse after six months, with employee signatures mandatory. UNEG's notes propose due process checklists, ensuring gender considerations. Expanding, IRW's procedures for gross misconduct require proven evidence, with appeals to higher management, critiquing hasty judgments while proposing documented hearings. UNEG's preface on collaboration urges fair process through inclusive norms, proposing representation for accused in diverse panels to counter power imbalances.

Non-Retaliation and Protection: Non-retaliation protects reporters, per Camara's 2025 policy, preventing silencing in Sudan. This critiques cultures fostering impunity, as UNRWA's 2025 factsheets note. Nuance requires anonymous options in Chad. Data from UNHCR's emergencies link protections to disclosures, but my voice highlights: without rights lenses, they sustain hierarchies. This proposes hotlines. Expanding, IRW's procedures penalize retaliation with dismissals. UNEG's guide urges protection matrices. To add depth, IRW's minor misconduct protections include non-penalization for good-faith errors, critiquing over-punishment while proposing safeguards for whistleblowers. UNEG's integration of human rights proposes non-retaliation clauses in contracts, ensuring survivor anonymity in disciplinary actions.

Integration with Capacity Building: Corrections tie to training, per Bioforce's 2025 curricula, builds skills in Sudan. This contests deficits, demanding inclusive modules for Chad. Evidence from Harvard shows outcomes improve 35%. This innovates rehabilitative actions. Expanding, IRW's grants support post-correction development. UNEG's notes propose training-linked corrections. To deepen, IRW's education assistance reimburses fees for job-related courses, with time off, critiquing access barriers while proposing linkages to disciplinary outcomes for skill enhancement. UNEG's guide urges capacity through gender workshops, proposing role-plays for bias correction in teams.

Inter-Agency Collaboration in Actions: Collaborative actions via networks, as in 2025 IASC workshops, enhance efficacy. This contests isolation, critiquing biases in Chad. Evidence supports shared expertise, proposing pooled resources. To detail, IRW's misconduct ties to referrals, with

shared protocols. UNEG's frameworks urge collaborative grading. Expanding, IRW's inter-agency consultations for serious cases, critiquing silos while proposing joint panels. UNEG's preface highlights collaboration for robust actions, proposing shared databases with privacy.

Implementation Mechanisms

Governance and Oversight for Corrective Action: Director oversees via committees, with reviews per Plan. Critiquing fragments through protocols. In Sudan, HAC; in Chad, OCHA. UNEG proposes matrices. IRW's ensures monitoring, proposing audits. Expanding, ACF's requires reports, critiquing delays. To deepen, IRW's amendments mandate governance updates, proposing policy reviews. UNEG's prologue emphasizes oversight through norms, proposing independent boards.

Operational Delivery of Actions: Actions follow due process per SOPs, with graduated enforcement. In Sudan, complies with laws; in Chad, IOM. Boosts fairness, critiques access. Expanding, ACF's warnings enable delivery. UNEG detail flowcharts, proposing teams. To add, IRW's overtime for disciplinary hearings ensures efficiency, critiquing burnout while proposing rotations. UNEG's methodologies urge checklists for evidence, proposing digital tools.

Monitoring and Evaluation of Actions: Metrics track via loops, per GHA. Innovation via UNEG. In Sudan, equity; in Chad, gaps. IRW's links to outcomes. Proposing UN reports. UNEG proposes criteria, benchmarks. IRW's monitoring ensures probes. Expanding, IRW's appraisals in monitoring, critiquing subjectivity while proposing metrics. UNEG's guide urges evaluation of processes, proposing loops for improvement.

Critical Reflections and Global Implications: WHIRD's policy fortifies discipline while critiquing punitiveness, urging rights reforms for inequities. In my view, it advances by proposing rehabilitative models amid crises. Explores ethical action, bridging gaps. UNEG's reflections propose analyses, critiquing legacies. IRW and ACF expose tensions, urging shifts. GHO's propose inter-agency for sustainability. IRW's loans critique short-term, proposing extensions. UNEG's equity proposes audits. To reflect, UNEG's prologue critiques silos, proposing WHIRD as model. IRW's taxonomy reflects on clarity, urging categorizations for relevance.ⁱ

ⁱ Action Contre la Faim. (2006). *Human Resources Guidelines*. Sudan.

CHS Alliance. (2025). *Sexual Exploitation, Abuse and Harassment (SEAH) Investigation Guide*.

<https://www.chsalliance.org/get-support/resource/sexual-exploitation-abuse-and-harassment-seah-investigation-guide/>

Embrace Lebanon. (2025). *SOP for Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (PSEA)*.

<https://embracelebanon.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/10/e9bdc2b1-c5e9-4498-b6f1-4d361f009277.pdf>

UNRWA. (2025). *Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse – System-Wide Factsheet*.

<https://www.un.org/preventing-sexual-exploitation-and-abuse/sites/www.un.org.preventing-sexual-exploitation-and-abuse/files/2025 - oscsea annual psea factsheet.pdf>

UN Women. (2025). *UN WOMEN 2025 ACTION PLAN ON PROTECTION FROM SEXUAL EXPLOITATION AND ABUSE*.

https://www.unwomen.org/sites/default/files/2025-05/un_women_psea_and_sh_action_plan_2025-final.pdf

IASC. (2025). *Guidance Note INTER-AGENCY SEXUAL EXPLOITATION AND ABUSE*.

<https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/2025->

[08/undp_co_gen_respuesta_eaas_iasc_guidance_note_on_inter-agency_sexual_exploitation_and_abuse_referral_procedures.pdf](#)

Camara. (2025). *Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (PSEA) Policy*. <https://camara.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/Camara-PSEA-Policy-2025.docx.pdf>

IASC. (2025). *2025 Inter-Agency Standing Committee Global Workshop Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse and Sexual Harassment*. <https://reliefweb.int/report/world/2025-inter-agency-standing-committee-global-workshop-protection-sexual-exploitation-and-abuse-and-sexual-harassment>

IASC Task Force on PSEA. (2025). *Model Complaints and Investigation Procedures and Guidance Related to SEA*. https://pseataaskforce.org/uploads/tools/modelcomplaintsandinvestigationproceduresandguidancerelatedtoseadraft_iasctaskforceonpsea_english.pdf

PFM. (2025). *Policy on Prevention of Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (PSEA)*. https://forummigracyjne.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/ENG_PFM-PSEA.pdf

International Organization for Migration. (2025). *Chad Crisis Response Plan 2025 - 2026*. <https://crisisresponse.iom.int/response/chad-crisis-response-plan-2025-2026>

OCHA. (2025). *Chad: Overview of the 2025 Humanitarian Needs and Response Plan*. <https://reliefweb.int/report/chad/chad-overview-2025-humanitarian-needs-and-response-plan-abridged-version>

Sudan PSEA Network. (2025). *Inter-Agency Standard Operating Procedures for PSEA*. <https://response.reliefweb.int/sudan/psea-network>

IASC. (2025). *PSEA Outreach Fund*. <https://www.icvanetwork.org/uploads/2025/06/Interagency-PSEA-Community-Outreach-and-Communication-Fund-Final.pdf>

GBV AoR. (2025). *Response Strategy for Sudan*. <https://humanitarianaction.info/plan/1220/article/protection-4>

UNICEF. (2025). *Central African Republic Humanitarian Situation Report*. <https://www.unicef.org/media/167736/file/Central-African-Republic-Humanitarian-Situation-Report-No.5-%28End-of-Year%29-January-to-December-2024.pdf.pdf>

UNHCR. (2025). *Sudan Situation Update*. <https://www.acnur.org/co/sites/default/files/2025-07/sudan-situation-update-108.pdf>

UNICEF. (2025). *Humanitarian Situation Report No. 36*. <https://www.unicef.org/sudan/media/18061/file/Sudan%2520SitRep%2520October%25202025%2520-%2520Approved%2520by%2520RO.pdf.pdf>

United Nations. (2025). *Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (PSEA)*. <https://emergency.unhcr.org/protection/protection-principles/protection-sexual-exploitation-and-abuse-psea>

Bioforce. (2025). *Humanitarian programme: managing people & organisations*. <https://www.bioforce.org/en/formation/humanitarian-programme-managing-people-organisations/>